



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#12
W. Jan 23
1/25/01

Application of: Kiraly, J.

Serial No. : 09/253,117

Group Art Unit: 2711

Filed : February 19, 1999

Examiner: BROWN, R.

For : CHAINCAST METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR BROADCASTING
INFORMATION TO MULTIPLE SYSTEMS WITHIN THE INTERNET

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

RECEIVED
JAN 23 2001
TC 2600 MAILROOM

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed 10/03/00, please consider the
following arguments for the above captioned patent application.

REMARKS

Claims 1-44 were previously pending in this application. Claims 1-44
stand rejected. Claims 1-44 remain pending in this application. Applicant
respectfully requests further examination and reconsideration in view of the
arguments set forth below.

35 U.S.C SECTION 112, Second paragraph

In the above referenced Office Action, Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. Section 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to
particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant
regards as the invention. In particular, the use of the phrase "pseudo